

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,043	648,043 08/26/2003		Tatsuya Takahashi	81784.0281	3213
26021	7590	10/06/2006		EXAMINER	
		SON L.L.P. HE STARS	YENKE, BRIAN P		
SUITE 14		HE STAKS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90067	2622		
				DATE MAILED: 10/06/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/648,043	TAKAHASHI ET A	TAKAHASHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summar	Examiner	Art Unit						
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this com Period for Reply	munication appe	ars on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxim - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mc earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	HE MAILING DA- risions of 37 CFR 1.136 communication. um statutory period will r reply will, by statute, conths after the mailing d	TE OF THIS COMMUNION (a). In no event, however, may a name apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ause the application to become Ab	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this of the Candon (35 U.S.C. § 133).	, .				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s	s) filed on <i>Amend</i>	dment (25 July 2006).						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .		ection is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condi	/—		ers, prosecution as to th	e merits is				
closed in accordance with the p		• •	• •					
Disposition of Claims		·						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in th	e application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s)		n from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 67</u> is/are reje	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 67</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.	•							
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	ov the Examiner.							
-	*	accepted or b) object	ted to by the Examiner.					
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 July 2006</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu				FR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is object								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cl a) All b) Some * c) None €		riority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
•		have been received						
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified cop				l Stage				
application from the Intern								
* See the attached detailed Office a		` ''	received.					
Attachment(s)								
)	(DTO 040)		Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date			nformal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/648,043 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Movshovish et al., US 6,956,621 in view applicant's cited Korean Patent No. 0085815.

In considering claim 1.

- a) the claimed amplifier circuit...is met programmable amplifier 104 (Fig 3)
- b) the claimed a clamp circuit... is met by by the clamping circuit (which removes DC component, see Figs 2-3)
- c) the claimed a time constant is met by time constant (Fig 3) configuration which includes C1,
- R2, based upon the comparison via 110 (which includes the gain amplified signal with a reference black level).

However, Movshovish does not disclose the practice of changing the time constant of the clamp circuit in accordance with the gain of the amplifier circuit, Movshovish discloses a fixed RC time constant.

Although, the concept of varying the time constant is conventional in the art, the examiner will nonetheless rely upon Korean Patent No 0085815 which discloses a varying clamp time constant device in order to address/correct the problem that a fixed clamp time constant causes image quality to deteriorate.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, to modify Movshovish's fixed time constant clamping circuit by allowing the system to vary the time constant which would image quality as stated above.

In considering claim 2,

a) the claimed a clamp circuit... is met by the circuit as shown in Fig 3, where the clamp enable pulse signal from driver 119.

b) the claimed a pulse width... is met where the wideth of the pulse is adjusted via the tristate buffer 113 of driver 119 based upon the comparison between the gain amplified signal and the black level signal via comparator 110.

In considering claim 3,

a) the claimed a comparison circuit... is met by comparator 110 which compares the gain amplified signal with a reference black level (Fig 3).

b) the claimed a clamp pulse generating circuit...is met by driver 119 which adjusts/outputs the appropriate signal from tri-state buffer 113 based upon the filtered 111 compared signal result.

In considering claim 4,

Movshovish discloses that the gain of the signal is varied in a steps, where the driver adjusts the step varied signal according to the compared results via comparison 110.

In considering claims 6-7,

Movshovish disclose that the gain of the video signal is adjusted for the entire line, which is adjusted once compared to the black level reference value, via comparison 110.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,043 Page 5

Art Unit: 2622

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

(FAX) 703-305-7786

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order

Art Unit: 2622

certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

Page 7

B.P.\

29 September 2006

BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINER